

# CMSC-16100

Honors Introduction to Programming, I  
Autumn Quarter, 2020

## Lecture 11: Monads

[Home](#)  
[Policies](#)  
[Lectures](#)  
[Labs](#)  
[Notes](#)  
[Piazza](#)

**Exercise 11.1** Show that  $(>>= \text{id}) :: \text{Monad } m \Rightarrow m (m a) \rightarrow m a$ , and therefore that  $\text{join} = (>>= \text{id})$  is a plausible definition for  $\text{join}$  in a **Monad**. It is, in fact, the definition.

**\*Exercise 11.2** Consider the trivial two-element list  $[(), ()]$ . Because this is an element of the list monad, we can include it on any line of list-defining **do** expression. Consider the two statements:

```
do
  [(), ()]
  x <- [1,2,3]
  pure x
```

vs

```
do
  x <- [1,2,3]
  [(), ()]
  pure x
```

These produce very different values. Explain the difference. The first expression can be re-written, using the techniques described above, into a particularly simple form that does not involve **do**. Do so.

Optionally: if you're feeling especially brave, the second form can be re-written in the same way, albeit not quite so simply. Do so.